

NCSC UK TSA Security Declaration

This document provides Canonical's Security Declaration in response to the Code of Practice related to the published UK Telecommunications Security Act Code of Practice.

It is intended to describe processes and measures implemented by Canonical related to security and engineering best practices to ensure that Canonical supports and complies with the requirements of the Telecommunications Security Act for the Telecommunications Sector within the UK. This document is also intended to be used for customers and prospective customers for the purpose of the Vendor Security Assessment according to the requirements of the UK Telecommunications Security Act Code of Practice.

---- DocuSigned by:

Neil French COO & Director of Canonical Group Limited



#	VSA Criteria	Description	Canonical Response			
	/.A: Product Lifecycle Management					
1	V.A.1: Product lifecycle process		From time to time, a LTS or 'Long Term Support'			
		sales dates.	releases are published (e.g. every two years in April in the case of Ubuntu). LTS releases are the 'enterprise grade' releases of Canonical products for which support is provided to a defined period of time.			
			End-of-Life dates are defined and communicated in Canonical public channels to customers and are usually defined from the release of the product version.			
			For non-LTS releases, support is provided during the period that the product is active and not end-of-life. Support for non-LTS releases are not covered by the same commitment as LTS releases and could be limited to a set of packages, depending on the product and/or the support offering chosen by customers. For Long Term Support releases, after the LTS period is over, the product is considered end of life and support is discontinued and only avaibale through a possible specific agreement between Customers and Canonical.			
2	V.A.2: Software maintenance	Each product is maintained through its published life cycle. This maintenance, as a minimum, covers security fixes for the product.	Canonical supports products from their release until the end-of-life period defined or communicated by Canonical. Support offerings vary depending on the Product release (e.g. LTS versus non-LTS) and the level of support services covered by the agreement between Canonical and its customers.			
			Canonical supports security fixes for all products under the shelf-life or all products. Canonical suppor offerings are available at:			
			https://ubuntu.com/support			



3	V.A.3: Software version control	Each product has a version-controlled code repository which logs every code modification. This audit log will detail: what code has been modified, added, or removed; why the change was made, who made the change; when the change was made; and which version of the code has been built into the released product.	Canonical-managed projects use version control to maintain a full version history of changes made to their codebases
4	V.A.4: Software releases	Each product goes through a rigorous software release cycle including internal testing before a version is released for general availability. Software will not be released if it does not comply with the Secure Engineering requirements detailed below. Each product should have regular external testing carried out on it by an independent third party.	Canonical's Release cycle includes a process adherent to the NIST SSDF framework / NIST 800-218. This includes internal testing before a version is released for general availability.
5	V.A.5: Development processes and feature development	There is one primary release train of the product. Forking of new versions is minimised. Where necessary, customer-specific functionality is provided as optional modules. Any new features are brought into the main product line during the standard development roadmap.	Canonical's Release cycle includes a process adherent to the NIST SSDF framework / NIST 800-218. This includes minimizing forking of versions and adding functionality during the roadmap development only.
6	V.A.6: International release and forking	The vendor maintains a single, global version line for each product. There are a minimal number of other versions (ideally none).	Canonical maintains global version lines for products, where versions are kept to a minimum necessary. If other versions are maintained, this is specified.
7	V.A.7: Use of tools, software and libraries	Third party tools (e.g. code compilers), software components and software libraries that are used within the product are inventoried. Any of the above that are material to the security of the vendor's software are maintained throughout its lifetime	Canonical inventories components and libraries used in products and maintains all relevant components and libraries that are relevant from a Security perspective.
8	V.A.8: Software Documentation	The vendor provides up-to-date and technically accurate documentation alongside new releases of the product. This documentation, as a minimum, shall detail how to securely configure, manage, and update the product.	Canonical maintains product documentation on its website for all top-level products such as OpenStack, Kubernetes, Juju, MAAS and Ubuntu. Documentation is also contributed to the respective upstream projects for products such as Openstack, Kubernetes, Kubeflow, Ceph and OSM.



V.B:	Product Security N	lanagement	
9	V.B.1: Security culture	The vendor has a security culture which ensures that security principles are followed.	Security Culture at Canonical is primarily owned by the CISO which implements policies, processses, procedures and controls for Security Awareness, Secure Code Development Vulnerability Management and Incident Management accross all products.
10	V.B.2: Secure Development Lifecycle	The vendor has a Secure Development Lifecycle to embed security into product development. All development teams follow, and can evidence that they follow, the Secure Development Lifecycle processes.	Canonical follows a SDLC process as part of the development and release process established internally. Our process is aligne with NIST SSDF / NIST 800-218.
11	V.B.3: Internal component management	Any shared internal components or libraries are kept up to date and only the latest stable, supported version is used. These components and libraries are not be modified for specific builds and are supported for the lifetime of the product.	All internal components that make Canonical products are managed and maintained as part of the Release Process
12	V.B.4: External component management	Only supported external components are used within a product. The vendor monitors the external component's changelog so that only the latest supported, stable version is used within the product. Additionally, the vendor monitors the external component's security advisories and pulls in any security fixes and integrates them into their product with a security update.	All external components that make Canonical products are supported or managed and maintained internally as part of the Release Process
13	V.B.5: Unsafe Functions	There are no unsafe functions used within the vendor's released code. Unsafe functions are those commonly associated with security vulnerabilities or those considered unsafe by industry best practice.	Use of unsafe functions forms part of the main inclusion request process as part of any security review undertaken. Code security analysis tools are used for this review.
14	V.B.6: Redundant and duplicate code	The vendor's source tree is maintained to a level that there is limited redundant or duplicate code.	Canonical's software development process adheres to best practices that ensure the source tree is optimized with minimal redundant or duplicate code. We employ rigorous code reviews, software compostion analysis, automated testing, and continuous integration practices to maintain code quality and efficiency.



	1		
15	V.B.7:	The vendor's source tree is maintained	Canonical's software development process
	File structure	to a level where code complexity is	adheres to best practices that ensure the source
		minimised, and functions perform	tree is optimized with minimal redundant or
		single, clear, actions.	duplicate code. We employ rigorous code reviews,
			software compostion analysis, automated testing,
			and continuous integration practices to maintain
			code quality and efficiency.
16	V.B.8:	There is no engineering debug	Debug functionality exists in some Canonical
	Debug	functionality present within the	products. This is feature is disabled by default in
	functionality	vendor's released products that could	telecom operators' installations.
		weaken or bypass the	
		product's security mechanisms.	
17	V.B.9:	The source tree has suitable and	Commenting is encouraged in areas of code
	Comments	understandable comments through it,	complexity in Canonical-managed projects. Levels
		explaining what the code is for and how	of commenting may vary and might not always
		it performs its actions.	follow the same standards for all products.
V.C:	Protected develop	ment and build environments	
18	V.C.1:	Development environment is	Whenever feasible and possible, segregation of
	Segregation of	segregated from the corporate	the 'development environment' is done.
	development	network and protected from the	
	environment	internet.	
19	V.C.2:	Build environment is segregated from	Canonical's build environments are hosted on a
	Segregation of	the corporate network and protected	dedicated infrastructure which is isolated from
	build environment	from the internet. Very few people can	other parts of Canonical's network infrastructure
		make changes.	and are administered by a dedicated team.
20	V.C.3:	Build environments are simple, and the	Canonical has an automated build process.
	Build automation	build process is automated.	
			Debian packages make use of a managed build
			secure root image; Debian source packages detail
			dependencies required to build the package, all of
			which must be sourced from the Ubuntu or
			Ubuntu Cloud Archive repositories. Build
			environments are easily reproduced using
			developer tooling to reproduce build failures.
			Snaps build against an Ubuntu Core image using
			the snapcraft build tool; dependencies are
			detailed in the definition of the snap
			(snapcraft.yaml).
			For both distribution methods, developers can
			easily reproduce the build process outside of the
			secured build environment used for build and
			publication of release packages.
			publication of release packages.



	i	r	1
21	V.C.4: Role-based access	Only individuals with a need have access to the internal code base, and access is controlled and limited based on role	RBAC is enforced in multiple ways for Canonical's products; Upstream projects typically use VCS repositories with core developers having commit privileges; other projects may also not allow direct commits, requiring pull requests or reviews which are peer reviewed before approval subsequently committed automatically into the VCS repository.
			Access is controlled based on need-to-know principle and restricted to appropriate teams. For Canonical-managed projects and product only Canonical employees have access to commit/merge code.
22	V.C.5:	All code is independently reviewed	Canonical's SDLC process enforces peer-reviews
	Code review	prior to acceptance. Feedback processes exist.	prior to acceptance. Feedback is provided in the pull-request/merge proposal and code is re-submitted for approval, if needed.
23	V.C.6:	All builds of released software must be	All builds have verbose logs, detailing all of the
23	Repeatable builds	repeatable at a future date	components used in a build. This includes versions, hashs, and source of files
V.D:	Exploit mitigations	5	
24	V.D.1:	The vendor makes use of modern heap	Canonical's products code are
	Heap Protections	protection mitigations to help prevent heap-based memory corruption attacks against the product.	compiled/interpreted using modern heap mitigations. Implementation varies from Product to Product based on compliler and interpreters used."
25	V.D.2: Stack Protections	The vendor only ships executable code that has been compiled using modern stack mitigations.	Canonical's products code are compiled/interpreted using modern stack mitigations. Implementation varies from Product to Product based on compliler and interpreters used.
26	V.D.3: Data Execution Prevention	The vendor supports hardware-enforced data execution prevention for example DEP.	Data Execution Prevention is used on Canonical products. Implementation varies from Product to Product based on compliler and interpreters used.
27	V.D.4: Address Space Layout Randomisation	The vendor only ships executable code that has been compiled using modern ASLR techniques.	Address Space Layout Randomisation is used on Canonical products. Implementation varies from Product to Product based on compliler and interpreters used.
28	V.D.5: Memory mapping protections	The vendor's product will have no memory pages mapped by default as both "Writable" and "Executable".	The memory protections in place while Canonical product is in use is dependent on the hardware and environment it is deployed in.
		This excludes areas of the code required to do Just-In-Time code compilation.	



29	V.D.6:	The vendor follows a "least privilege"	Canonical products follow a "least privilege"
27	Least Privilege	methodology when developing and	methodology when developing and executing
	code	executing code within their products.	code within their products.
		The vendor ensures that their product	
		only runs at or requests the minimum	
		privilege level required for it to fulfil its	
		advertised purpose. If higher privilege	
		levels are ever required, then the	
		product only elevates privilege for the	
		specific task.	
30	V.D.7:	The vendor has a product road map	Canonical products already delivers secure
	Secure execution	detailing when and how they plan to	execution environments. Also, the telco edge
	environment	implement secure execution	setup with MAAS, LXD and MicroK8s running on
		environments to enable execution of	Ubuntu Core can provide customers with
		sensitive workloads on untrusted	confinement based on Snaps to work with
		hardware.	untrusted workloads.
		d Software Signing	
31	V.E.1:	Vendor's software and firmware is	Canonical's products are digitally-signed.
	Software and	digitally-signed.	For Ubuntu, packages in Ubuntu and the Ubuntu
	firmware signing		Cloud Archive are not directly signed. Instead the
			archive maintains indexes of packages and checksums in signed files which can be used by
			Ubuntu installations to verify the integrity of the
			packages downloaded for install or update.
32	V.E.2:	Software signatures are verified before	Canonical applies software signature verification
	Signature	binaries are executed.	before executing binaries. By default any
	verification		signature verification will result in packages not
			being installed.
33	V.E.3:	Updates are delivered via a secure	Updates to Canonical products are delivered via
	Secure update	channel that is mutually authenticated.	secure channels.
34	V.E.4	Built-in detection capabilities alert	Any attempt to downgrade a package to an older
	Downgrade	whenever software is downgraded	version results in a warning and a prompt to the
	protection	during an install process.	operator.
		trust and secure boot	
35	V.F.1:	The equipment contains a hardware	Not applicable as Canonical does not provide any
	Hardware	root-of-trust for identity and storage.	hardware products.
	root-of-trust		
36	V.F.2:		Not applicable as Canonical does not provide any
	Secure Boot	process, initiated by the hardware	hardware products.
		root-of-trust (V.F.1) to bring the	Whenever needed, Canonical's products can help
		equipment into a known-good state on restart.	customers implement this requirements
37	V.F.3:	Each compute element on product will	Not applicable as Canonical does not provide any
	Securing JTAG	will have	hardware products.
		debug interfaces (such as JTAG and	



V.G:	V.G: Security Testing				
38	V.G.1:	Once developed, extensive security	Canonical's Canonical's Release process includes a		
	Automated	tests are automatically run against all	process adherent to the NIST SSDF framework /		
	testing	versions of applicable products.	NIST 800-218.		
			This includes automated and non-automated		
			Security Tests.		
39	V.G.2:	Developers cannot modify the build	Canonical's Canonical's Release process includes a		
	Testing rigour	environment to hide or disregard build	process adherent to the NIST SSDF framework /		
		issues, or issues detected by automated			
		tests. Failing builds are automatically	This includes automatic rejection of failed builds.		
		rejected.			
		Therefore, code does not create any			
		compiler errors or security related			
		warnings during build.			
40	V.G.3: Security	Security functionality is tested to	Canonical's Canonical's Release process includes a		
	Testing	demonstrate correct operation.	process adherent to the NIST SSDF framework /		
			NIST 800-218.		
			This includes testing of functionality, including		
			security fucntionality, to assure correct operation.		
41	V.G.4:	Extensive negative testing is performed	Canonical's Canonical's Release process includes a		
	Negative testing	against every product release, including			
		a wide range of potential failure cases,	NIST 800-218.		
		inappropriate message sequencing and	This includes negative testing.		
42	V.G.5:	malformed messages.			
42		Fuzzing is performed against the	Canonical does not perform fuzz testing.		
	Fuzzing	product, especially focusing on interfaces which cross security			
		boundaries.			
		The approach is sophisticated enough			
		to ensure that a high			
		proportion of code is tested.			
43	V.G.6:	External security research teams	Canonical's Canonical's Release process includes a		
	External testing	perform testing against a selection of	process adherent to the NIST SSDF framework /		
	_	major product releases. Some of this	NIST 800-218.		
		testing is un-scoped.	This includes periodic testing and assessments of		
			Product security by external third parties.		
44	V.G.7:	The vendor has a DAST solution	Software Composition Analysis and Static		
	Dynamic	integrated into the vendor's test	Application Security Testing are mandatory as		
	application	process	part of the Release process, while Dynamic		
	security testing		Application Security Testing is optional for		
	(DAST)		Product teams to include in their testing.		
V.H:	V.H: Secure management and configuration				



	1/11.4.	The seadure and he seath headered	
45	V.H.1:	The product can be easily hardened	Canonical maintains product documentation on its
	Product hardening	into a secure configuration.	website for all top-level products such as
		Documentation exists to help	OpenStack, Kubernetes, Juju, MAAS and Ubuntu.
		customers perform this hardening	Documentation is also contributed to the
		process.	respective upstream projects for products such as
		Alerts are created should the device be	Openstack, Kubernetes, Kubeflow, Ceph and OSM.
		taken out of the hardened State.	
46	V.H.2:	The product can be configured to only	No proprietary protocols are used as part of
	Protocol	use standardised protocols.	Canonical operated open source projects.
	Standard-isation		
47	V.H.3:	By default, the product is configured to	Canonical follows best practices with regards to
	Management	only use modern, secure protocols on	TLS protocols and cipher suites.
	plane security	the management plane.	
48	V.H.4:	Access to the management plane is	Canonical's products related to management
	Management	user-based and supports	plane (MAAS and OpenStack) follow user-based
	access	Asymmetrickey-based (e.g. X.509	access and can be LDAP integrated.
		certificates or SSH keys).	
49	V.H.5:	Secure protocols are used whenever	Secure protocols are used whenever possible in
	No unencrypted	possible (e.g. SSH and HTTPS). If an	Canonical solutions.
	protocols	unencrypted protocol is enabled, and a	
	proceeds	secure alternative exists, the product	
		warns the administrator, and provides	
		the option to create a security alert.	
50	V.H.6:	The product does not have any	Canonical products have no undocumented
50	v.n.o. No	undocumented administrator accounts.	administrative mechanisms which can be easily
	un-documented	Examples include, but are not limited	confirmed based on the open source nature of
	administrative	-	products.
	mechanisms	to, hard coded passwords, access key	
	mechanisms	pairs (SSH keys) or otherwise administrative access tokens.	
F 4	\/\\ 7 .		
51	V.H.7:	The product does not have any	Canonical products have no undocumented
	No	undocumented	administrative mechanisms which can be easily
	un-documented	administration features	confirmed based on the open source nature of
	administrative		products.
	features		
52	V.H.8:	No default passwords are left on the	Canonical's products either are shipped with no
	No default	device after the initial set up.	default credentials or provide fucntionality to
	credentials		disable credentials are created as part of an initial
		For clarity, this also means there are no	setup/deployment.
		administrative accounts coded into the	
		vendor's software.	
53	V.H.9:	The vendor is explicit about the threats	Canonical maintains product documentation on its
	Best Practice	to the equipment that they have sought	website for all top-level products such as
	Guidance	to mitigate, and those they have not.	OpenStack, Kubernetes, Juju, MAAS and Ubuntu.
	adiadrice	-	
	Guidance	The vendor provides detailed	Documentation is also contributed to the
	Guidance	The vendor provides detailed configuration and notes on how the	
	Guidance	The vendor provides detailed configuration and notes on how the equipment can be protected in	Documentation is also contributed to the respective upstream projects for products such as Openstack, Kubernetes, Kubeflow, Ceph and OSM.



V.J:	/.J: Vulnerability and Issue Management				
54	V.J.1: Issue tracking and remediation	The vendor has a process for issue remediation. This ensures the vulnerability is resolved in all impacted products. Vulnerabilities are patched within appropriate timeframes.	Canonical has a process for issue remediation which is public and transparent. Security notices are released for Products.		
55	V.J.2: Issue comprehension.	For issues, the vendor identifies the root cause analysis of the issue and is able to detail the origin of the vulnerability.	Canonical's process to Vulnerability Management prioritizes issues based on their criticality. For issues categorized as High or Critical, Canonical strives to identify the root cause and to provide detailed origin of the vulnerability, whenever feasible and possible. For Medium, Low or Negligible issues, that process is optional.		
56	V.J.3: Vulnerability reporting	The vendor provides a publicly advertised route for disclosure of security issues that links into their vulnerability management process.	The Vulnerability reporting process is public and available at Canonical's website		
57	V.J.4: Issue transparency	The vendor is transparent about their patching of security issues.	Canonical's process is transparent about patching of security issues, which is handled by the Vulnerability Management process. Canonical's Security and Engineering teams manage the triaging, patching and updating of latest public vulnerabilities from various sources and update information on Product Documentation and/or websites.		
58	V.J.5 Product Security Incident Management Team (PSIRT)	The vendor has set up the PSIRT structures within its organisation	Canonical has a PSIRT team structured and organized.		